| I  |   |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 1  | PRESTON DUFAUCHARD  |  |  |
| 2  | California Corporations Commissioner<br>  WAYNE STRUMPFER   |  |  |
| 3  | Deputy Commissioner<br>ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717)   |  |  |
| 4  | Lead Corporations Counsel   |  |  |
| 5  | Department of Corporations<br>320 West 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 750<br>Los Angeles, California 90013-2344   |  |  |
| 6  | Attorneys for Complainant   |  |  |
| 7  |   |  |  |
| 8  | BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS   |  |  |
| 9  | OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  |  |  |
|    |   |  |  |
| 10 | In the Matter of the Accusation of THE  | ) Case No.: 100-1117                           |  |
| 11 | CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS COMMISSIONER,   | )<br>) ACCUSATION                              |  |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER,   | )  |  |
| 13 | Complainant,  |  |  |
| 14 | vs.   | )  |  |
| 15 | KASE ENGINEERING AND FINANCIAL  | )  |  |
| 16 | SERVICES, INC. doing business as CHECKS   | )  |  |
| 17 | CASHED OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA   | )  |  |
| 18 | Respondent.   | _)   |  |
| 19 |   |  |  |
| 20 | The Complainant is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief,   |  |  |
| 21 | alleges and charges Respondent as follows:  |  |  |
| 22 | I   |  |  |
|    | Respondent Kase Engineering and Financial Services, Inc. doing business as Checks Cashed  |  |  |
| 23 | of Southern California ("Checks Cashed") is a deferred deposit transaction originator licensed by   |  |  |
| 24 | the California Corporations Commissioner ("Commissioner") pursuant to the California Deferred   |  |  |
| 25 | Deposit Transaction Law (California Financial California Financia Financia Financia Financia | Code § 23000 et seq.) ("CDDTL"). Checks Cashed |  |
| 26 | is licensed as a corporation that has its principal place of business located at 3915 Mission Ave.,   |  |  |
| 27 | #9, Oceanside, CA 92054.  |  |  |
| 28 | , 5554115136, 511 /20011  |  |  |
|    |   |  |  |

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

II

Pursuant to Financial Code section 23057, all licensees were required to file a Survey on or before March 15, 2007. The Survey forms and instructions were mailed to all licensees on or about January 5, 2007. On or about March 27, 2007, Checks Cashed was notified by certified mail, return receipt requested that the Survey had to be filed no later than the close of business on April 13, 2007 to avoid revocation of its CDDTL license.

Checks Cashed has yet to file the Survey in violation of California Financial Code section 23057.

Ш

## California Financial Code section 23057 provdes that:

On December 1, 2007, the commissioner shall report to the Governor and the Legislature on its implementation of this division. The report shall include, at a minimum, information regarding the demand for deferred deposit transactions, the growth and trends in the industry, common practices for conducting the business of deferred deposit transactions, the advertising practices of the industry, including any violations of Section 23027, and any other information the commissioner deems necessary to inform the Governor and the Legislature regarding potential legislation that may be ecessary to protect the people of the State of California. The commissioner's recommendations for future action may include, but are not limited to, changes in the fees charged to consumers, specifications regarding the length of time for deferred deposit transactions, maximum amount provided to consumers, additional regulation of advertising practices, and the implementation of an installment loan product in lieu of a deferred deposit transaction as described in this division.

As the commissioner conducts this study, licensees shall be required to supply all information the commissioner deems necessary. The study shall be made public and may not include any proprietary information.

## California Financial Code section 23052 provides in pertinent part:

The commissioner may suspend or revoke any license, upon notice and reasonable opportunity to be heard, if the commissioner finds any of the following:

| 1      |   |  |
|--------|---|--|
| 2      | (a) The licensee has failed to comply with any demand, ruling, or requirement of the commissioner made pursuant to and within the authority |  |
| 3      | of this division.   |  |
| 4      | (b) The licensee has violated any provision of this division or any rule or   |  |
| 5      | regulation made by the commissioner under and within the authority of this division.  |  |
| 6      | (c) A fact or condition exists that, if it had existed at the time of the original  |  |
| 7<br>8 | application for the license, reasonably would have warranted the commissioner in refusing to issue the license originally.                  |  |
| 9      |   |  |
| 10     | The Commissioner finds that, by reason of the foregoing, Checks Cashed has violated   |  |
| 11     | California Financial Code sections 23057, and based thereon, grounds exist to revoke the deferred   |  |
| 12     | deposit transaction license of Checks Cashed.   |  |
| 13     | WHEREFORE, IT IS PRAYED that the deferred deposit transaction license of Checks   |  |
| 14     | Cashed be revoked.  |  |
| 15     | Data I. Mara 2, 2007  |  |
| 16     | Dated: May 3, 2007 Los Angeles, California PRESTON DuFAUCHARD   |  |
| 17     | California Corporations Commissioner  |  |
| 18     |   |  |
| 19     |   |  |
| 20     | By<br>Steven C. Thompson  |  |
| 21     | Special Administrator   |  |
| 22     |   |  |
| 23     |   |  |
| 24     |   |  |
| 25     |   |  |
| 26     |   |  |
| 27     |   |  |
| 28     |   |  |